

**BEFORE THE NATIONAL GREEN TRIBUNAL,
PRINCIPAL BENCH, NEW DELHI**

**Appeal No. 36 of 2013
(M.A. NO. 452 OF 2014)**

And

Original Application No. 93 (T_{HC}) of 2013

IN THE MATTER OF:

Alka Sareen & Anr. Vs. Union of India & Ors.

And

Residents Welfare Society & Anr. Vs. State of Haryana & Ors.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SWATANTER KUMAR, CHAIRPERSON
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.S. NAMBIAR, JUDICIAL MEMBER
HON'BLE MR. DR. D.K. AGRAWAL, EXPERT MEMBER
HON'BLE MR. RANJAN CHATTERJEE, EXPERT MEMBER

Present: Applicant: Mr. Hemant Sarin, Adv.
Respondent No. 1: Mr. Vivek Chib, Advocate
Respondent No. 2to4: Mr. Dharam Vir Sharma, Sr. Adv. and Ms. Anubha Agrawal and Ms. Nitika Sharma, Advocates
Respondent No. 4: Mr. Narender Hooda, Sr. Advocate, and Ms. Bano Deswal and Ms. Sukhmani, Adv.

Present: Applicant: Mr. Hemant Sarin, Advocate
Respondent No. 1, 2, 5 & 6: Mr. Narender Hooda, Sr. Advocate and Mr. Vineet Malik, Advocate
Respondent No. 3: Mr. Dharam Vir Sharma, Sr. Adv. and Ms. Anubha Agrawal and Ms. Nitika Sharma, Advocates
Respondent No. 4: Mr. Vivek Chib, Adv. and Ms. Ruchira Goel, Adv.

Date and Remarks	Orders of the Tribunal
Item No. 06-07 February 27, 2015	<p style="text-align: center;">Appeal No. 36 of 2013</p> <p>Learned counsel appearing for the parties jointly submit that the standing committee of National Board for Wildlife met on 21st January, 2015 and passed the following directions in relation to the project in question:</p> <p>“32.4.2 (4). Proposal for setting up of Solid Waste Management Project at village Jhuriwala in Panchkula district, Haryana. The proposed site is 140 mtr. From the Khol-hi-Raitan Wildlife Sanctuary.</p> <p><i>The Member Secretary briefed the Committee regarding the proposal. Chief Wild Life Warden elaborated on the site. Project proponents informed that engineering design and technology for the project is yet to be finalised. It was agreed</i></p>

that the proposal can be considered by the Standing Committee if the technical and the capacity details of the project are made available. State was advised to locate the project away from the sanctuary limits and resubmit the proposal with the details, once worked out”.

It is unnecessary to note that in view of the above the project at this stage cannot be established. Obviously after the HUDA take appropriate steps, the consequences will fall.

In the facts of the case nothing survive in the case. In view of the above, Appeal No. 36 of 2013 stands disposed of.

M.A. No. 452 of 2014

This Application does not survive for consideration in view of the fact that the main matter itself stands disposed of.

Accordingly, M.A. No. 452 of 2014 stands disposed of.

Original Application No. 93 (T_{HC}) of 2013

List this matter for hearing on 10th July, 2015.

.....,CP
(Swatanter Kumar)

.....,EM
(M.S. Nambiar)

.....,EM
(Dr. D.K. Agrawal)

.....,EM
(Ranjan Chatterjee)